

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,013 06/30/2000		Christopher J. Lasher	103864-1200RI	9950
28089	7590 01/11/2006	EXAMINER		
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP			HARMON, CHI	RISTOPHER R
399 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3721	_

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12	
	, ,

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/608,013	LASHER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher R. Harmon	3721

Advisory Action	09/608,013	LASHER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Christopher R. Harmon	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI</li> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follows:</li> </ul>	n the same day as filing a Notice o	f Appeal. To avoid ab	andonment of ence, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	compliance with 37 C	CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	RST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month partner term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 November 2005. A         of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(ε</li></ol>	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	because
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ow);		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	04 70 70 00 444 445 440 400 4	440 440 450 400 11	کال سین الح
Claim(s) objected to:Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 6, 8-14, 16, 18-31, 33-44, 46-59,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	OF-70, 78-90, 114, 113, 110-123, 1 CPENDING SUBMISSION O	PE PROPER OA	TH)
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			ince because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
10. 23 Other. Ges Continuation Oriset.	(	JOHN SIPOS- PRIMARY FXAMIN	JFR

Continuation of 13. Other: The Oath/Declaration is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.175. The document is not whole. The various signatures of inventors ie. pages 9-12 of the facsimilie transmission are dissimilar to the last page of the Oath/Declaration. It is not clear as to what statement is being testified to. Furthermore the Oath/Declaration is not a proper submission after a Notice of Appeal. The rejection under 35 USC 251 is maintained.

JOHN SIPOS PRIMARY EXAMINE